EXHIBIT 1

Case 1:00-cv-01898-VSB-VF Document 2510-2 Filed 06/02/09 Page 2 of 7

Eugene Renna

Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK : Master File No. 1:00-1898 IN RE: METHYL TERTIARY : MDL No. 1358 (SAS) BUTYL ETHER ("MTBE") : M21-88 PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION: -----x This Document Relates To: All Cases -----x VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF EUGENE RENNA April 23, 2008

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
One Liberty Place, 51st Floor
1650 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
deps@golkow.com 877.370.3377

	Page 142
1	THE WITNESS: Okay, Will.
2	BY MR. WALSH:
3	Q. Do you recall making any requests for
4	assessment of minimum investment scenarios in August
5	of 1991?
6	A. No.
7	Q. All right. What was the what how to
8	do this was there a reason for examining or would
9	there have been a reason for examining minimum
10	investment scenarios?
11	MR. BONGIORNO: Objection.
12	You can answer.
13	THE WITNESS: The reason would be that we
14	did as you now, I think, are aware, we were
15	struggling to supply our requirements, to make
16	oxygenated fuel.
17	BY MR. WALSH:
18	Q. The focus of the memo is on utilization of
19	MTBE. Was there a reason why a use of ethanol as
20	opposed to MTBE as the oxygenate was not a
21	calculation for a minimum investment scenario?
22	A. I mean, I have to take your word for it.
23	This only talks about MTBE investment?
24	Q. Since my read of it has been incorrect in
25	the past, I will let you if you see it differently,

```
Page 143
 1
      I would be happy to be corrected.
 2
                I'm not sure we would have even known what
 3
      we were doing, trying to do stand-alone ethanol
 4
      plants. I can't answer you. If it's not in here,
 5
      why not?
 6
                Refineries we knew -- some refineries,
 7
      like Beaumont, could be modified to produce MTBE.
 8
      think we were pretty clear that MTBE was going to be
      the oxygenate of choice in most areas, because of
      what we saw as acquisition issues with ethanol.
10
11
                But why we didn't do an analysis about
12
      building our own ethanol plant, which I believe is
13
      your question, I don't know.
                That's where I was going. Did Mobil
14
15
      in 1990, 1991, contemplate the construction of its
16
      own ethanol-producing facilities or a joint
17
      venture with other companies to develop an ethanol
18
      facility?
19
                Ethanol? I don't recall, honestly.
           Α.
20
                At a similar time was Mobil examining a
           Ο.
21
      joint venture with a Saudi Arabian company for the
2.2
      construction of a world-scale unit in Saudi Arabia?
2.3
                At or around that time.
           Α.
24
           Q.
                Was it?
25
           Α.
                Yes, we were.
```

	Page 144
1	Q. You nodded your head.
2	A. Yeah. I'm sorry.
3	Q. That's all right.
4	Was also Mobil Chemical looking at
5	investments in or specifically was Mobil Chemical
6	looking at an investment with the Saudis in
7	constructing a plant in Saudi Arabia?
8	A. I think that they were the ones that's
9	one and the same. The Chemical was leading the
10	investigation with the Saudis.
11	Q. And that was a proposal that eventually
12	went to the Executive Committee and was approved?
13	A. You know, I was trying to remember
14	remember the outcome as to how it got progressed. I
15	honestly don't recall. I'm sure it's in the record.
16	Q. At the time, was Mobil contemplating
17	constructing a world-scale unit at Beaumont?
18	A. A world-scale unit?
19	Q. A larger MTBE manufacturing facility at
20	Beaumont?
21	A. I can only answer you, I wouldn't be
22	surprised.
23	You're still talking about this time
24	period of '91, right?
25	Q. Yes.

```
Page 156
 1
      Milwaukee area?
 2
           Α.
                I don't know.
 3
                Turn four pages forward from there.
                                                       The
           0.
 4
      top of the page is: "USM&R Oxygenate Supply
 5
      Strategy."
           Α.
 6
                That's a heading?
 7
           Q.
                That's a heading.
                MR. BONGIORNO: The next page.
 8
 9
      BY MR. WALSH:
10
                And just initially, in the second
      paragraph, the third sentence there references the
11
12
      need for prospective MTBE suppliers to have a
13
      five-year contract?
14
           Α.
                Yes.
15
                I'm sorry.
16
           Q.
                The next paragraph references a
17
      contingency plan for the development of -- or a
      contingency plan in development.
18
                Do you recall the development of that
19
20
      contingency plan?
21
           Α.
                No.
22
                Were there any technological impediments
2.3
      that you recall to instituting that contingency plan
24
      had there not been sufficient supply of MTBE?
25
                I'm sorry, Will. I'm fascinated with the
           Α.
```

	Page 157
1	paragraph. Please ask the question again. No,
2	seriously.
3	Q. Right. Were there any technological
4	impediments to implementing contingency plan if
5	sufficient supplies of MTBE had not existed, so that
6	Mobil would have used ethanol as its oxygenate in or
7	along the East Coast?
8	A. It doesn't sound like it.
9	Q. Is the only cost that is being identified
10	here the 5 to \$7 million of additional costs for
11	storage?
12	A. I'm in the same position you are, kiddo;
13	all I can do is read it. It looks like it could
14	have been done and the cost was manageable.
15	Q. Do you recall any other costs that were
16	anticipated should the contingency plan need to be
17	implemented?
18	A. No. I don't recall any.
19	Q. Okay. May I borrow that back, just for a
20	minute?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. I just want to make sure I'll make it a
23	little easier for you this way.
24	I, again, apologize for this problem.
25	There is a discussion that starts the bottom